or effect. I believe it is not only the privilege but the duty of every Senator to exercise his constitutional prerogative and to advise the President before he returns to France of his opinion upon a matter which so deeply concerns not alone the people of this country but of all countries who have organized themselves into governments. As I look at it, it is of far greater importance that we discharge this duty at this time than it is to consider appropriation bills or legislation of any character. Inasmuch as we may well yield to the members of the Foreign Relations Committee after the conference to which I have referred takes place, some of us must speak now or not at all.

No greater mistake could be made than to assume that there may not be an effective compact among nations, the sole purpose of which is to prevent, so far as humanly possible, future wars, without surrendering a single necessary attribute of our own sovereignty. I cannot concur in the argument which seems to lead to the conclusion that any form of internationalism is an unwise invasion of nationalism. I cannot agree that the
highest ideals of Americanism preclude cooperation among nations looking toward the abolition of war. From the very beginning of our national life, indeed, from a time antedating the triumphant close of the Revolution by five years, or more, we have been making treaties of peace and amity with the various powers of the world and we have now a long and honorable series of agreements for the settlement of international disputes. The United States was a party to the Hague Convention and it joined in establishing the Hague Tribunal. In 1909, this country participated in the London Conference and approved its work; a conference that was called and held for the purpose of civilizing, clarifying and simplifying the law of nations. I am saying these things not because anyone has specifically denied them, but because it is helpful just now to remember that there is an internationalism which is not only in harmony with the most exalted spirit of nationalism but which supplements and strengthens that national power which every self-respecting government must exercise for itself. It must be clear to every thoughtful person
that there must be in a world like ours, where an increasing
intimacy among nations has been brought about by the genius of
invention, the imperative demands of commerce, the drifting tides
of population; and, with the constantly growing opportunities of
conflict and controversy, a developing internationalism that will
meet successfully conditions as they change from year to year.
It is not my purpose to enlarge upon this thought or to expand
my observations by a more specific recital of the history of
mankind, and I mention it simply that you may have it in mind
as I turn my attention to the immediate subject which is now
uppermost in all discussion throughout the civilized world.

It is my belief that the close of the unparalleled
conflict in which we have been engaged presents the most favor-
able opportunity that we ever have had or that is likely to occur
in years and years to come to do something that will be a distinct
advance toward preventing war in the future. I do not believe
that treaties with this object in view should precede the
settlement of the terms of peace which are to be imposed upon
an immaterial matter, inasmuch as the United States ceases
to be distinct, and that the executive council or the body
of delegates has the same tender consideration for all parts
of its world-wide domain.

Mr. President, I do not ignore the deep anxiety
which the President as the spokesman for this instrument feels
for the laboring people of the world, and his keen desire to
see that full justice is done to the people, and I quote him,
"who go to bed tired and wake up without the stimulation of
lively hope". They understand their wrongs and they are moving
to correct them. Sometimes in the best way, sometimes in the
poorest way. They need no such League of Nations to assert
their rights. They have always been strong enough to do it here,
and now they are powerful enough to do it everywhere. Just
what will come from the turmoil through which the world is now
passing God only knows, but we can fervently hope that from the
conflict justice to every human being will emerge; but a polyglot
and incoherent power imposed upon this mass of conflicting and
irreconcilable aspiration will do more to plunge all man-
kind into continual strife than any plan that can be
conceived.

The President repeats over and over again that we
must accept our responsibility in world work and I agree with
him. I am no advocate of isolation. It seems plain to me,
however, that the chief contribution to peace and good order
at this time is to meet boldly and to solve wisely for our-
selves the one mighty question which is tearing Europe asunder
and which is advancing upon us with terrific force. If we can-
not show the world by our own example that the workingman can
get more justice, more happiness, more comfort under a recon-
structed system of individual industrial activity than he can
secure through complete socialism the world will try the exper-
iment, and, from my standpoint, with the most disastrous results
to civilization.

We ought now to be bending all our energies upon the
vital subject of reconstruction, and this should be our immedia-
tate contribution to the welfare of humanity.